

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

AF Holdings LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

John Doe

Defendant.

---

Civil Nos. 12-1445 (JNE/FLN)  
12-1446  
12-1447  
12-1448  
12-1449

**ORDER**

**THIS MATTER** came before the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge on Plaintiff's motion for leave to take discovery prior to the Rule 26(f) conference (ECF No. 3). It is **HEREBY ORDERED** that the motion is **DENIED**. [#3] *See Hard Drive Prods., Inc. v. Does 1-90*, 2012 WL 1094653 at \*2-5 (N.D.Cal. Mar. 30, 2012) (denying motion for expedited discovery as to ISP subscriber information after finding, among other things, that: [1] the requested discovery was "not very likely" to reveal the identity of the alleged infringer; [2] personal jurisdiction may not exist over the alleged infringer; and [3] the pornographic copyright holder's activities in pursuit of settlement agreements may constitute an "abuse of the judicial system").

DATED: July 3, 2012

s/ Franklin L. Noel  
FRANKLIN L. NOEL  
United States Magistrate Judge